Self-Assembly of Dendron Rodcoil Molecules into Nanoribbons
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One of the expectations in science is the discovery of materials with surprising properties or functionality based on designed molecules that self-order or fold. The focus on self-assembly throughout the 90s has generated very useful knowledge toward this expectation and many prospects are on the horizon. Learning how to control the dimensionality and shape of self-assembled structures through molecular design remains a challenge.

We report here on the self-assembly of molecules 1 which we refer to as dendron rod coils (DRC) because of their blocked covalent architecture consisting of coil-like, rodlike, and dendritic segments. These molecules are synthesized in 15 steps with an overall yield of 40% (see Supporting Information). Extremely dilute solutions (as low as 0.2 wt %) of 1 in various organic solvents undergo spontaneous gelation, producing birefringent soft solids with a blue-violet hue. Formation of a birefringent gel strongly suggests self-assembling behavior of molecules 1 in organic solvents.

The bulky geometry of the dendron relative to the rod could frustrate the formation of two-dimensional assemblies. Nonetheless, the identical aromatic rod-dendron segments of molecules 1 should be strongly driven to aggregate in one dimension through noncovalent interactions. These could involve hydrogen bonding among hydroxyl groups in the periphery of the dendron as well as aromatic π−π stacking of biphenyl units. We used transmission electron microscopy (TEM) to study self-assembly of molecules 1 in organic solvents. Figure 1 shows a micrograph obtained from a 0.004 wt % solution of the DRC in dichloromethane cast onto a TEM grid. The unstained sample clearly shows one-dimensional objects with a strikingly uniform width of 10 nm. Most of the strands shown in Figure 1 have lengths on the order of micrometers. We also observed isolated strands as long as 10 μm, and thus their aspect ratio can be as high as 1000. The fully extended length of an average-size DRC molecule is ~6.5 nm, and thus the 10 nm width is consistent with a bimolecular packing of DRC molecules. A head-to-head packing of molecules could generate the narrow structures observed by TEM. Examination of the one-dimensional structures by atomic force microscopy (AFM) reveals their uniform thickness of 2 nm, clearly indicating a ribbonlike shape (see Supporting Information). Therefore, the self-assembly of DRC molecules results in the formation of nanoribbons 10 × 2 nm and several μm long, which we conclude lie flat on the carbon substrates used for imaging. Small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) experiments on the gels did not reveal peaks even when a synchrotron source was used, suggesting that the gels contain one-dimensional structures that are not highly aggregated and therefore lack the necessary structural coherence to generate X-ray diffraction.

We synthesized a series of molecules analogous to 1 to probe the role played by hydrogen bonding in self-assembly. In addition to 1, three other structures were synthesized that differed only in the number of hydroxyl groups present in the dendron segment. Molecules 2 do not contain hydroxyls at all, whereas 3 and 4 contain two and six hydroxyl groups, respectively (Table 1). Molecules 2 form isotropic solutions when dissolved in organic solvents, and gelation was never observed in this system (see Supporting Information). When only two hydroxyl groups are present (material 3), gelation is still not observed when molecules are dissolved at elevated temperature. Once these solutions are cooled to room-temperature precipitation occurs. Therefore, the presence of at least four hydroxyl groups per molecule is necessary for solvent gelation by the network of self-assembled nanoribbons. As expected, the same gelation behavior described for 1 was observed for molecules 4 which contain six hydroxyl groups in their dendron. A second series of molecules (5−7) was synthesized in order to probe the role of aromatic interactions in self-assembly. In this series all of the molecules have identical dendron segments with four hydroxyl groups and therefore retain the same capacity to form hydrogen bonds. However, the molecules differ in the number of biphenyl ester units forming their rod segment. Interestingly, results from these studies indicate that aromatic interactions play an important synergistic role together with hydrogen bonds in triggering self-assembly. As shown in Table 1, molecules 5 (with one biphenyl-ester unit) do not gel organic

Figure 1. Bright-field TEM micrograph of unstained DRC nanoribbons formed in dichloromethane.
solvents or produce the characteristic blue-violet hue. In contrast, the addition of a second biphenyl-ester unit in molecules 6 results in the formation of a gel but one that is mechanically weak relative to that formed by 1. Self-assembly is enhanced in molecules 7 containing 4 biphenyl ester units (in comparison with DRC 1), as indicated by the fact that gelation is now observed in a larger variety of solvents. For example, birefringent gels are obtained when molecules 7 are dissolved in the monomeric polar solvents methyl methacrylate and butyl methacrylate at 1 wt %, whereas molecules 1 only form clear solutions in these same solvents at this concentration.

We have also synthesized DRC molecules containing the same rod-dendron part, but different coils. The presence of a diblock coil in molecules 9 and 10 significantly increases their solubility and gelation does not occur. On the other hand, the absence of a coil (compound 13) resulted in formation of highly insoluble material. Compound 12 containing a fairly short dodecanoyl coil was still insoluble, whereas molecule 11 with a larger coil segment (2-octyl-1-dodecanoyl) was found to form gels similar to those of DRC 1. Finally, molecules 15–18 (see Supporting Information) containing the same coil and rod as those in DRC 1 but different dendrons (generation 2, 3, 4, and 5) were prepared in order to understand the influence of the dendron’s size. These four molecules were soluble in organic solvents, and none of them led to the formation of gels. Thus, at least three biphenyl-ester units in the rod and four hydroxyls in the dendron segment are required to form a robust gel, which is a signature of extensive hydrogen bonding and aromatic interactions. The oligosoprene segments, on the other hand, are found by NMR to retain their rotational freedom after gelation (see Supporting Information).

To gain insight into the internal structure of the nanoribbons, we synthesized model compound 14 containing a dendron identical to that present in DRC 1 but covalently attached to only one biphenyl. Figure 2 shows side and top views of the crystal structure of this compound revealing the presence of a ribbonlike structure composed of tetrameric cycles (upper and middle right).7 The tetrarers are formed by hydrogen bonds among dendritic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>molecules</th>
<th>R₁</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>R₂</th>
<th>R₃</th>
<th>R₄</th>
<th>behavior in CH₂Cl₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>insoluble material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>weak gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PS₉—Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PS₉—Pb—(CH₃)₂OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>—OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>—OOC</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>insoluble material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>insoluble material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>OH</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Side view of the ribbonlike structure taken directly from the crystal structure of 14 shows color-coded hydrogen-bonded tetramers (upper right) stacked on top of each other along the [100] direction of the crystal (top view is shown in the middle right). Schematic (left and bottom right) representation of the proposed structure for a DRC nanoribbon.

Table 1. Structure and Behavior in Dichloromethane of DRC Analogs and Model Compounds
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(7) Crystal data for compound 14: C₃₃H₃₈O₁₀, M = 578.51, triclinic, P1, a = 4.9341 (5) Å, b = 19.219 (2) Å, c = 33.022 (3) Å, α = 85.805 (2)°, β = 88.850 (2)°, γ = 88.669 (2)°, V = 3121.6 (6) Å³, Z = 4.
Scheme 1

Synthesis of DRC molecules 1.
Structure of DRC molecules containing dendritic blocks of different generation (15: G₂; 16: G₃; 17: G₄; 18: G₅).

**Experimental Section**

**General.** Unless otherwise stated, all starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and benzene were redistilled from Na⁺/benzophenone ketyl and 4-(N,N-dimethylamino)pyridinium-4-toluenesulfonate (DPTS) was prepared as described elsewhere. Isoprene was redistilled under nitrogen atmosphere and dried over calcium hydride before use. The ¹H NMR and spectra were recorded on solution in THF-d₈ on a Varian Unity 500 (500 MHz) or Varian Unity 400 (400 MHz) spectrometers. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded at 125 MHz on a Varian Unity 500 spectrometer using THF-d₈ as the solvent and the solvent carbon signal as internal standard. The following abbreviations are used: Ar’ refers to aromatic rings derived from biphenyl fragments, Ar” refers to aromatic rings derived from 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid. High resolution field desorption mass spectra were collected on a Micromass 70-VSE spectrometer operating at 8 KV.
aceleration voltage and 4 KV extraction plate voltage. Matrix assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass spectra were obtained on a VG TofSpec spectrometer using dithranol silver trifluoroacetate as a matrix. Synthesis and characterization of molecules 2-18 will be reported elsewhere.

**General procedure for esterification reaction.** The acid (1.2 eq), phehol or hydroxyl-terminated oligoisoprene (1 eq), DPTS (1.6 eq), and CH$_2$Cl$_2$ were combined in a flask with stirring bar under nitrogen atmosphere. 1,3-Diisopropyl cabodiimide (DIPC) (1.6 eq) was added after 10 minutes and the reaction was allowed to stir for several hours. Urea impurities were then removed by precipitating the concentrated reaction mixture into methanol (3 times). The precipitate was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography as outlined in the following text.

**General procedure for the deprotection reaction using tetrabutyl ammonium fluoride (TBAF).** The TBDMS-protected phenol (1 eq) was dissolved in THF and cooled to -78 °C. TBAF (1.0 M solution in THF, 1.2 eq) was added via syringe and the reaction was stirred for 15 minutes at -78 °C. The reaction was quenched with acetic acid. The reaction was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with H$_2$O. The organic layer was collected, dried, filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography as outlined in the following text.

**General procedure for the deprotection reaction using hydrofluoric acid.** The TBDMS-protected product (1 eq) was dissolved in THF in a plastic vessel. Hydrofluoric acid (49 % aq. solution) was added via syringe (20 eq) and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 24 hours. The reaction was diluted by CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and quenched by saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate. The resultant mixture was washed several times with water and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography as outlined in the following text.
**Compound 19.** A dry flask was charged with 4'-hydroxy-4-biphenylcarboxylic acid (1 eq), imidazole (2.2 eq), and CH$_2$Cl$_2$. After stirring for 10 min., tert-butyldimethylsilylchloride (2.2 eq) was added. The solution was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature, then diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed several times with water. The organic layers were dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The product was purified by flash chromatography eluting with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to give **19** as a colorless liquid. Yield: 88 %.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 0.26 (br s, 12H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.04 (br s, 18H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 6.96 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, Ar'H), 7.60 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 7.69 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 8.16 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, Ar'H); FD-MS $m/z$ 442 (M$^+$); (Found: C, 67.72; H, 8.71; Si, 12.35. Calc. for C$_{25}$H$_{38}$O$_3$Si$_2$: C, 67.87; H, 8.60; Si, 12.67 %).

**Compound 20.** Compound **19** (1 eq.) was placed into a plastic vessel and dissolved in THF. Hydrofluoric acid (5 eq.) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 minutes. The reaction was then diluted by CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and quenched by saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate. The resultant mixture was washed several times with water and the resultant product was recrystallized from hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (7:3 vol.) to give **20** as white crystals. Yield: 90 %.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 0.24 (s, 6H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 1.02 (s, 9H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 6.94 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 7.58 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 7.66 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, Ar'H), 8.06 (d, 2H, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, Ar'H); FD-MS $m/z$ 328 (M$^+$); (Found: C, 70.12; H, 7.55; Si, 8.39. Calc. for C$_{19}$H$_{24}$O$_3$Si: C, 69.51; H, 7.32; Si, 8.54 %).

**Compound 21.** 3,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (1 eq) and imidazole (3.8 eq) were dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$. tert-Butyldimethylsilyl chloride (3.5 eq) was added and the solution stirred overnight. The resulting mixture was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, washed with water and dried over MgSO$_4$. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the product was purified by flash chromatography (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) to give **21** as a colorless liquid. Yield: 95 %.

$^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 0.23 (s, 12H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 0.47 (s, 6H,
COOSi(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃, 1.01 (br s, 27H, Si(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃), 6.60 (t, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, Ar''H), 7.22 (d, 2H, J = 2.4 Hz, Ar''H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, THF-d₈) δ -4.31, 0.17, 18.98, 19.19, 26.17, 115.65, 117.31, 133.97, 157.96, 167.40; FD-MS m/z 496 (M⁺); (Found: C, 60.63; H, 9.74; Si, 16.69. Calc. for C₂₅H₄₈O₄Si₃: C, 60.48; H, 9.68; Si, 16.94 %).

**Compound 22.** Compound 21 was dissolved in THF/H₂O/AcOH mixture (50:10:40 vol.) and stirred for 24 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography eluting with CH₂Cl₂ gradually increasing to 10 % THF/CH₂Cl₂ to give 22 as white needle-like crystals. Yield: 95 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d₈) δ 0.22 (s, 12H, MHz, THF-d₈) δ -4.29, 18.88, 26.10, 115.47, 117.09, 133.80, 157.41, 167.21; FD-MS m/z 382 (M⁺); (Found: C, 59.73; H, 8.99; Si, 14.61. Calc. for C₁₉H₃₄O₄Si₂: C, 59.69; H, 8.90; Si, 14.65 %).

**Molecules 23.** 100 mL of benzene and 100 mL of THF were placed in a flask, n-BuLi (1 eq) was added followed by the addition of isoprene (9 eq). The reaction was stirred for 30 min, then quenched by ethylene oxide gas for 15 minutes followed by addition of 10 mL of HCl/THF (1:2). The solvent was removed by rotatory evaporation. The crude material was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 40% CH₂Cl₂/hexane gradually increasing to CH₂Cl₂ to give 23 as a colorless liquid. Yield: 70 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d₈) δ 0.5-2.8 (br m, 70H aliphatic), 3.61 (br m, 2H, CH₂OH), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl); FD-MS m/z 730, 663, 596, 797, 864; (Found: C, 85.57; H, 12.42. Calc. for C₅₁H₈₆O₂: C, 83.83; H, 11.78 %).

**Molecules 24.** This was prepared from 23 and compound 20 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with hexane/CH₂Cl₂ (1:1 vol.) to give 24 as a colorless liquid. Yield: 95 %. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d₈) δ 0.23 (s, 6H, OSi(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃), 0.5-2.8 (br m, 80H aliphatic), 4.29 (br m, 2H, CH₂OCOAr'), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.95 (d, 2H, J = 8.6 Hz,
\( \text{Ar}'H \), 7.55 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar}'H \)), 7.67 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar}'H \)), 8.04 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, \text{Ar}'H \)); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.09, \( M_n = 1520 \).

**Molecules 25.** This was prepared from 24 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 5% THF/\( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) to give 25 as a colorless liquid. Yield: 95%. \( ^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, THF-}d_8) \) \( \delta \) 0.5-2.8 (br m, 70H aliphatic), 4.26 (br m, 2H, \( \text{CH}_2\text{OCOAr}' \)), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.83 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.52 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.3 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.65 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.2 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 8.02 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.5 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 8.45 (s, 1H, Ar'O); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.07, \( M_n = 1380 \).

**Molecules 26.** This was prepared from 25 and compound 20 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with \( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) to give 26 as a colorless liquid. Yield: 95%. \( ^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, THF-}d_8) \) \( \delta \) 0.25 (s, 6H, OSi(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 0.5-2.8 (br m, 80H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, \( \text{CH}_2\text{OCOAr}' \)), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.97 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.40 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.62 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.80 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 8.11 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.5 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 8.22 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.07, \( M_n = 1760 \).

**Molecules 27.** This was prepared from 26 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 5% THF/\( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) to give 27 as a tacky solid. Yield: 87%. \( ^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, THF-}d_8) \) \( \delta \) 0.5-2.8 (br m, 70H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, \( \text{CH}_2\text{OCOAr}' \)), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.87 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.38 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.59 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.77 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 8.09 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 8.21 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.4 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 8.51 (s, 1H, Ar'O); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.08, \( M_n = 1610 \).

**Molecules 28.** This was prepared from 27 and compound 20 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 5% THF/\( \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 \) to give 28 as a white solid. Yield: 95%. \( ^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, THF-}d_8) \) \( \delta \) 0.26 (s, 6H, OSi(CH\(_3\))\(_2\)C(CH\(_3\))\(_3\)), 0.5-2.8 (br m, 80H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, \( \text{CH}_2\text{OCOAr}' \)), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.98 (d, 2H, \( J = 8.6 \text{ Hz, Ar}'H \)), 7.41 (m, 4H, Ar'H), 7.66 (d,
29, 8.09 (s, 2H, Ar'H); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.07, M\textsubscript{w}=1820.

**Molecules 30.** This was prepared from 29 and compound 22 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 5% THF/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} to give 30 as a white solid. Yield: 89%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d\textsubscript{8}) δ 0.27 (s, 12H, OSi(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}), 0.5-2.8 (br m, 88H aliphatic), 4.31 (br m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}OCOAr'), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.70 (t, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, Ar''H), 7.32 (d, 2H, J = 2.0 Hz, Ar''H), 7.40 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 7.78 (m, 4H, Ar'H), 7.84 (d, 4H, J = 8.4 Hz, Ar'H), 7.88 (d, 4H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ar'H), 8.10 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar'H), 8.29 (d, 4H, J = 8.1 Hz, Ar'H); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.08, M\textsubscript{w}=2190.

**Molecules 31.** This was prepared from 30 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with 15% THF/CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} to give 31 as a white solid. Yield: 85%. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d\textsubscript{8}) δ 0.5-2.8 (br m, 70H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, CH\textsubscript{2}OCOAr'), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.49 (t, 1H, J = 2.2 Hz, Ar''H), 7.08 (d, 2H, J = 2.4 Hz, Ar''H), 7.40 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 7.78 (m, 4H, Ar'H), 7.82 (d, 4H, J = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 7.86 (d, 4H, J = 8.6 Hz, Ar'H), 8.09 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar'H), 8.29 (d, 4H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ar'H), 8.52 (s, 2H, Ar''OH); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.08, M\textsubscript{w}=2050.
Molecules 32. This was prepared from 31 and compound 22 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to give 32 as a white solid. Yield: 95%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 0.26 (s, 24H, OSi(CH$_3$)$_2$C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 0.5-2.8 (br m, 106H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, CH$_2$OCOAr'), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.68 (t, 2H, $J = 2.3$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.33 (d, 4H, $J = 2.3$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.42 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 7.60 (t, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.79 (m, 4H, Ar'H), 7.85 (d, 4H, $J = 8.6$ Hz, Ar'H), 7.90 (d, 4H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar'H), 8.04 (d, 2H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, Ar''H), 8.10 (d, 2H, $J = 8.5$ Hz, Ar'H), 8.29 (d, 4H, $J = 8.0$ Hz, Ar'H); GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.08, $M_n$=3060.

Molecules 1. This was prepared from 32 and was purified by flash chromatography eluting with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ gradually increasing to 10% THF/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to give 1 as a white solid. Yield: 90%. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 0.5-2.8 (br m, 70H aliphatic), 4.30 (br m, 2H, CH$_2$OCOAr'), 4.5-5.2 (br m, 14H vinyl), 6.51 (t, 2H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.10 (d, 4H, $J = 2.4$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.42 (m, 6H, Ar'H), 7.58 (t, 1H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, Ar''H), 7.79 (m, 4H, Ar'H), 7.85 (d, 4H, $J = 8.6$ Hz, Ar'H), 7.89 (d, 4H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, Ar'H), 8.01 (d, 2H, $J = 2.2$ Hz, Ar''H), 8.10 (d, 2H, $J = 8.5$ Hz, Ar'H), 8.29 (d, 4H, $J = 8.1$ Hz, Ar'H), 8.59 (s, 4H, Ar''OH); $^{13}$C NMR (125 MHz, THF-$d_8$) $\delta$ 14.45, 19.23, 23.54, 24.98, 28.08, 30.00, 32.77, 40.24, 43.03, 45.82, 63.43, 65.22, 67.91, 108.91, 108.97, 109.01, 112.3, 121.57, 123.18, 123.25, 127.67, 127.90, 128.98, 129.09, 129.14, 129.42, 130.82, 131.47, 131.59, 132.03, 138.07, 146.06, 152.52, 152.61, 152.93, 160.06, 164.03, 164.97, 166.21; GPC (254 nm, THF) PDI=1.08, $M_n$=2340.

General procedure for the preparation of the DRC gels. Solid material 1 and dichloromethane (CH$_2$Cl$_2$) were placed into a capped vessel and heated to 70-80 °C. Complete dissolution of 1 resulted in formation of a viscous solution with a blue-violet hue which turned into a gel within several minutes at 70 °C. The vessel was cooled to room temperature, and the gels obtained were optically transparent and exhibited a blue-violet color. Neither phase separation nor precipitation were observed in these systems and
the gels remained stable for unlimited periods of time. Temperature induced melting of the gels was never observed even when the sealed vessel was heated to 100 °C (61 °C above the boiling point of CH$_2$Cl$_2$), at which point the vapor pressure destroys the container. Thus, the gel structure is thermally irreversible unlike most if not all organogels. The gels formed could only be disassembled by polar solvents such as tetrahydrofuran which can effectively compete for hydrogen bonding with hydroxyl groups of the DRC 1 as determined by FTIR experiments. The critical gelation point in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was found to be 0.2 wt % (i.e. the lowest concentration at which the gel can sustain its own weight upon the inversion of the container).

Dynamic light scattering experiments were performed on dilute solutions of DRC in dichloromethane. The results indicate the growth of rod-like structures in solution. The radius of gyration (RG) of these structures was found to be dependant on concentration, aging time and the temperature. The initial average value of RG for the freshly prepared dilute solutions in dichloromethane (0.01 wt. %) was 250 nm. These data will be published in detail elsewhere.

**Gelation behavior of some analogous molecules.** DRC molecules 2 form clear non-viscous solutions in all the organic solvents tested (dichloromethane, chloroform, benzene, toluene, styrene, dibromomethane, bromoform, iodoform, chlorobenzene, dichlorobenzene, methyl methacrylate, butyl methacrylate, THF, DMF, DMSO and so on). NMR experiments of solutions of 2 in various solvents indicate the absence of any appreciable aggregation at least within the concentration range from 0.5 to 5 wt %. All the signals were highly resolved and their width and position were found to be independent of concentration.

DRC molecules 6 formed gels at much higher concentration relative to that of DRC 1. The critical gelation concentration for 6 was five times higher (close to 1 wt %). At this particular concentration the gels formed by 6 can be easily fractured by the slightest shaking or tapping and therefore we defined these gels as “mechanically weak” as opposed to robust gels of 1 which do not fracture at this concentration.
Figure S1. AFM image of a DRC nanoribbon on graphite substrate (0.5 x 0.5 µm). Height contrast image is shown on the left and the phase contrast image of the same area is shown on the right.
Figure S2. Height profiles of a DRC nanoribbon obtained by AFM. The height (thickness) of the ribbon was measured at 20 different points along the length and was found to be $20 \pm 1$ Å. Profiles along three arbitrary lines (A, B, and C) are shown and the heights of the ribbon measured from these profiles are indicated in the table. Note that measurements of lateral dimensions by AFM at this scale are not accurate since they are below the lateral limit of resolution imposed by the size of the tip (close to 10 nm). Thus the width of the ribbon appears much larger than it does in TEM.
Figure S3. NMR spectra of a solution of dendron rodcoil molecules 1 in THF (top) and 1 wt. % gel in dichloromethane (bottom). A molecular graphic representation of a dendron rodcoil molecule 1 is shown on the right.
Figure S4. TEM micrograph obtained from a DRC gel in styrene. The structures are helical, as evidenced by the presence of dark lines parallel to each other along the length of the ribbon. These lines represent regions where the ribbon is perpendicular to the substrate.