

ECON501 - Vector Differentiation

Simon Grant

October 2005

Abstract

Notes on vector differentiation and some simple economic applications and examples.

1. Functions of One Variable

$$g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{derivative (slope)} \quad \frac{d}{dx}g(\bar{x}) \equiv g'(\bar{x}) \equiv \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\bar{x} + h) - g(\bar{x})}{h}$$

2nd derivative (rate of change of slope)

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2}g(\bar{x}) \equiv g''(\bar{x}) \equiv \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g'(\bar{x} + h) - g'(\bar{x})}{h}$$

2. Functions of Several Variables

2.1. Real-valued function of a vector x ($N \times 1$)¹

$$g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Partial derivative of g wrt x_n is defined as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}g(\bar{x}) \equiv g_n(\bar{x}) \equiv \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n + h, \dots, x_N) - g(\bar{x})}{h} \quad (1)$$

$$Dg(\bar{x}) \equiv D_x g(\bar{x}) \equiv [\nabla g(\bar{x})]^T \equiv \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}g(\bar{x}), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N}g(\bar{x}) \right] \quad (2)$$

I.e. the *gradient* of g evaluated at $x = \bar{x}$, and denoted $\nabla g(\bar{x})$, is the vector (i.e $N \times 1$ matrix) of partial derivatives.

¹Vectors are assumed to be column vectors, i.e. ($N \times 1$) matrices. Row vectors [$(1 \times N)$ matrices] will be denoted by vector transposed. E.g. $(x_1, \dots, x_N) = x^T$

Example 1 Let $u : \mathbb{R}_+^L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a utility function for consumption bundles that can be represented by points in \mathbb{R}_+^L . $\nabla u(\bar{x})$ is then the gradient of the utility function at consumption bundle \bar{x} .

$$\text{I.e. } \nabla u(\bar{x}) \equiv [Du(\bar{x})]^T \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u(\bar{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_L} u(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

2.2. Vector function of a vector x

$g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ I.e. g maps points from \mathbb{R}^N to points in \mathbb{R}^M

Example 2 Demand function $x(p, w)$ which will later be referred to as a system of demand functions, maps a price vector and income/wealth level to a consumption bundle. Denote $x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ as the quantity of good ℓ demanded by a consumer facing an L -dimensional vector of prices \bar{p} and who has wealth level \bar{w} .

I.e. $x : \mathbb{R}_+^{L+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^L$ and for each ℓ , $x_\ell : \mathbb{R}_+^{L+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$

Example 3 The gradient of the utility function from example 1, may be viewed as a function from \mathbb{R}^N into \mathbb{R}^N .

The vector function $g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^M$ may be viewed as M scalar functions:

$$g_m : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, m = 1, \dots, M.$$

From equation (2) we have

$$Dg_m(\bar{x}) \equiv \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_m(\bar{x}), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} g_m(\bar{x}) \right]$$

thus we may view the derivative of $g(x)$, evaluated at $x = \bar{x}$, as the M stacked row vectors of partial derivatives for each $g_m(\bar{x})$. Thus we

have an $M \times N$ matrix of partial derivatives:

$$Dg(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_1(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} g_1(\bar{x}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_M(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_N} g_M(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Notice that if we took the derivative of $g(x)$ at \bar{x} w.r.t. $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$, holding $\begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix}$ fixed at $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_3 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{x}_N \end{pmatrix}$, our derivative would then be the *first two* columns of $Dg(\bar{x})$.

$$D \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} g(\bar{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_1(\bar{x}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} g_1(\bar{x}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g_M(\bar{x}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} g_M(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Example 4 (*Example 2 cont.*): For our system of demand functions $x(p, w)$

$$\begin{aligned} D \begin{pmatrix} p \\ w \end{pmatrix} x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_L} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} x_L(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial p_L} x_L(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) & \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_L(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \quad D_w(\bar{p}, \bar{w})] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ is the $L \times L$ matrix of price partial derivatives and $D_w x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ is the $L \times 1$ matrix of wealth effects.

Example 5 (*Example 3 cont.*): $\nabla u(\bar{x}) \equiv [Du(\bar{x})]^T$ is a function from \mathbb{R}^L to \mathbb{R}^L . The derivative of this function (i.e. the “derivative

of the gradient" of u) is called the Hessian of u .

$$\begin{aligned} H_u &\equiv \nabla^2 u(\bar{x}) \equiv D[\nabla u(\bar{x})] \equiv D^2 u(\bar{x}) \\ &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_1(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_L} u_1(\bar{x}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_L(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_L} u_L(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \\ &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} u(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_L} u(\bar{x}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_L \partial x_1} u(\bar{x}) & \dots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_L \partial x_L} u(\bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

3. Applications

3.1. Differentiation of an Inner Product.

$$g(x) = p^T x = \sum_{\ell=1}^L p_\ell x_\ell$$

By equation (2)

$$Dg(\bar{x}) \equiv \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} g(\bar{x}), \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_L} g(\bar{x}) \right] = (p_1, \dots, p_L).$$

Notice that the budget hyperplane, $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^L | p^T x = w\}$, is the *level* set of the *linear* function $g(x) = p^T x$. Thus the gradient of the budget hyperplane is the price vector p .

3.2. Euler's Theorem Applied to a Demand System.

$$x(\alpha p, \alpha w) \equiv x(p, w) \text{ for all } p, w \text{ and } \alpha > 0 \quad (6)$$

Differentiating equation (6) wrt α and evaluating at $\alpha = 1$, $p = \bar{p}$ and $w = \bar{w}$, from equation (5) we obtain

$$[D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \quad D_w(\bar{p}, \bar{w})] \begin{pmatrix} \bar{p} \\ \bar{w} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and multiplying out

$$D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \bar{p} + D_w(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \bar{w} = 0 \quad (7)$$

To see this, let us focus on the demand for commodity 1. From (6) we have:-

$$x_1(\alpha \bar{p}_1, \alpha \bar{p}_2, \dots, \alpha \bar{p}_L, \alpha w) \equiv x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \quad (8)$$

When α changes, each price changes and income changes in the LHS. So we should expect $(L + 1)$ partial derivatives appearing in our LHS expression for the effect on the demand for commodity 1 from a change in α .

Notice that the rate at which each price p_ℓ changes as α changes is \bar{p}_ℓ (i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}(\alpha \bar{p}_\ell) = \bar{p}_\ell$) and the rate at which the demand for commodity 1 changes as p_ℓ changes is $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\ell} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$. So the effect on the quantity demanded of commodity 1 of a change in α *via* its effect on the price p_ℓ is $\frac{\partial}{\partial p_\ell} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{p}_\ell$. Similarly the effect on the quantity demanded of commodity 1 from a change in α *via* its effect on wealth is $\frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{w}$. So differentiating the LHS of equation (8) yields

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\ell} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{p}_\ell + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_1(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{w}$$

and as α does not appear on the RHS of equation (8), the derivative of the RHS wrt α is simply 0. Stacking the L equations, one for each commodity, we obtain (7), the result in matrix form.

Exercise 1 *Using the definitions for $D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ and $D_w(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$, multiply out the matrices in (7) and check that you indeed obtain L equations of the form:-*

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{p}_k + \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \bar{w} = 0 \quad (9)$$

Define

$$\varepsilon_{\ell k} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \frac{\bar{p}_k}{x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})}$$

as the price elasticity of demand for commodity ℓ wrt price p_k ; and

$$\varepsilon_{\ell w} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) \times \frac{\bar{w}}{x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})}$$

as the wealth elasticity of demand for commodity ℓ .

Dividing equation (9) by $x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ we obtain:-

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \varepsilon_{\ell k} + \varepsilon_{\ell w} = 0 \quad (10)$$

I.e. the sum of the price elasticities of demand for commodity ℓ is equal to minus its wealth elasticity.

3.3. Differentiating Walras' Law

$$p^T x(p, w) \equiv w \quad (11)$$

3.3.1. Differentiating with respect to wealth.

If we differentiate (11) wrt w and evaluate at $p = \bar{p}$ and $w = \bar{w}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_w [\bar{p}^T x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})] &= \bar{p}^T D_w x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) = 1 \\ \text{or } \sum_{\ell=1}^L \bar{p}_\ell \times \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Equation (12) is known as the *Engel Aggregation*. If we let

$$m_\ell \equiv \bar{p}_\ell \times \frac{\partial}{\partial w} x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$$

denote the *marginal propensity spend* on commodity ℓ , then the Engel aggregation may be interpreted as saying (loosely):

“The sum of the marginal propensities to spend out of a dollar on all commodities equals a dollar.”

3.3.2. *Differentiating with respect to prices.*

If we differentiate (11) wrt p and evaluate at $p = \bar{p}$ and $w = \bar{w}$ we obtain

$$D_p [\bar{p}^T x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})] = \bar{p}^T D_p x(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) + [x(\bar{p}, \bar{w})]^T = 0 \quad (13)$$

Equation (13) is known as the *Cournot Aggregation*. If we multiply out the matrices in (13) we obtain L equations of the form:-

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \bar{p}_k \times \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\ell} x_k(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) + x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w}) = 0 \quad (14)$$

In words it says that the sum of the rate of change on expenditure of all commodities for an increase in the price p_ℓ is equal to minus the quantity of commodity ℓ currently demanded. That is, if p_ℓ rises, the rate at which wealth would have to rise to enable the consumer to purchase the original consumption bundle is $x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$. In other words the *purchasing power* of the consumer falls at the rate $x_\ell(\bar{p}, \bar{w})$ as the price of that commodity rises.