

Chapter 3

The Simple Linear Regression Model: Specification and Estimation

3.1 An Economic Model

- The simple regression function

$$E(y | x) = \mu_{y|x} = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x \quad (3.1.1)$$

- Slope of regression line

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\Delta E(y | x)}{\Delta x} = \frac{dE(y | x)}{dx} \quad (3.1.2)$$

“ Δ ” denotes “change in”

3.2 An Econometric Model

Assumptions of the Simple Linear Regression Model-I

- The average value of y , for each value of x , is given by the *linear regression*

$$E(y) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x$$

- For each value of x , the values of y are distributed about their mean value, following probability distributions that all have the same variance,

$$\text{var}(y) = \sigma^2$$

- The values of y are all *uncorrelated*, and have zero *covariance*, implying that there is no linear association among them.

$$\text{cov}(y_i, y_j) = 0$$

This assumption can be made stronger by assuming that the values of y are all *statistically independent*.

- The variable x is not random and must take at least two different values
- (*optional*) The values of y are *normally distributed* about their mean for each value of x ,

$$y \sim N[(\beta_1 + \beta_2 x), \sigma^2]$$

3.2.1 Introducing the Error Term

The random error term is

$$e = y - E(y) = y - \beta_1 - \beta_2 x \quad (3.2.1)$$

Rearranging gives

$$y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e \quad (3.2.2)$$

y is dependent variable; x is independent or explanatory variable

Assumptions of the Simple Linear Regression Model-II

SR1 $y = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x + e$

SR2. $E(e) = 0 \Leftrightarrow E(y) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x$

SR3. $\text{var}(e) = \sigma^2 = \text{var}(y)$

SR4. $\text{cov}(e_i, e_j) = \text{cov}(y_i, y_j) = 0$

SR5. The variable x is not random and must take at least two different values.

SR6. (*optional*) The values of e are *normally distributed* about their mean

$$e \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

3.3 Estimating the Parameters for the Expenditure Relationship

3.3.1 The Least Squares Principle

- The fitted regression line is

$$\hat{y}_t = b_1 + b_2 x_t \quad (3.3.1)$$

- The least squares residual

$$e_t = y_t - \hat{y}_t = y_t - b_1 - b_2 x_t \quad (3.3.2)$$

- Any other fitted line

$$\hat{y}_t^* = b_1^* + b_2^* x_t \quad (3.3.3)$$

- Least squares line has smaller sum of squared residuals

$$\sum e_t^2 = \sum (y_t - \hat{y}_t)^2 \leq \sum e_t^{*2} = \sum (y_t - \hat{y}_t^*)^2$$

- Least squares estimates are obtained by minimizing the sum of squares function

$$S(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \beta_1 - \beta_2 x_t)^2 \quad (3.3.4)$$

- Math: Obtain partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_1} = 2T \beta_1 - 2 \sum y_t + 2 \sum x_t \beta_2 \quad (3.3.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \beta_2} = 2 \sum x_t^2 \beta_2 - 2 \sum x_t y_t + 2 \sum x_t \beta_1$$

- Set derivatives to zero

$$2(\sum y_t - T b_1 - \sum x_t b_2) = 0 \quad (3.3.6)$$

$$2(\sum x_t y_t - \sum x_t b_1 - \sum x_t^2 b_2) = 0$$

- Rearranging equation 3.3.6 leads to two equations usually known as the *normal equations*,

$$Tb_1 + \sum x_t b_2 = \sum y_t \quad (3.3.7a)$$

$$\sum x_t b_1 + \sum x_t^2 b_2 = \sum x_t y_t \quad (3.3.7b)$$

- Formulas for least squares estimates

$$b_2 = \frac{T \sum x_t y_t - \sum x_t \sum y_t}{T \sum x_t^2 - (\sum x_t)^2} \quad (3.3.8a)$$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x} \quad (3.3.8b)$$

- Since these formulas work for any values of the sample data, they are the **least squares estimators**.

3.3.2 Estimates for the Food Expenditure Function

$$b_2 = \frac{T \sum x_t y_t - \sum x_t \sum y_t}{T \sum x_t^2 - (\sum x_t)^2} = \frac{(40)(3834936.497) - (27920)(5212.520)}{(40)(21020623.02) - (27920)^2}$$

(3.3.9a)

$$= 0.1283$$

$$b_1 = \bar{y} - b_2 \bar{x} = 130.313 - (0.1282886)(698.0) = 40.7676 \quad (3.3.9b)$$

A convenient way to report the values for b_1 and b_2 is to write out the *estimated* or *fitted* regression line:

$$\hat{y}_t = 40.7676 + 0.1283x_t \quad (3.3.10)$$

3.3.3 Interpreting the Estimates

- The value $b_2 = 0.1283$ is an estimate of β_2 , the amount by which weekly expenditure on food increases when weekly income increases by \$1. Thus, we estimate that if income goes up by \$100, weekly expenditure on food will increase by approximately \$12.83.
- Strictly speaking, the intercept estimate $b_1 = 40.7676$ is an estimate of the weekly amount spent on food for a family with zero income

3.3.3a Elasticities

- The income elasticity of demand is a useful way to characterize the responsiveness of consumer expenditure to changes in income. From microeconomic principles the elasticity of any variable y with respect to another variable x is

$$\eta = \frac{\text{percentage change in } y}{\text{percentage change in } x} = \frac{\Delta y / y}{\Delta x / x} = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} \cdot \frac{x}{y} \quad (3.3.11)$$

- In the linear economic model given by equation 3.1.1 we have shown that

$$\beta_2 = \frac{\Delta E(y)}{\Delta x} \quad (3.3.12)$$

- The elasticity of “average” expenditure with respect to income is

$$\eta = \frac{\Delta E(y) / E(y)}{\Delta x / x} = \frac{\Delta E(y)}{\Delta x} \cdot \frac{x}{E(y)} = \beta_2 \cdot \frac{x}{E(y)} \quad (3.3.13)$$

- A frequently used alternative is to report the elasticity at the “point of the means” $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (698.00, 130.31)$ since that is a representative point on the regression line.

$$\hat{\eta} = b_2 \cdot \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{y}} = 0.1283 \times \frac{698.00}{130.31} = 0.687 \quad (3.3.14)$$

3.3.3b Prediction

Suppose that we wanted to predict weekly food expenditure for a household with a weekly income of \$750. This prediction is carried out by substituting $x = 750$ into our estimated equation to obtain

$$\hat{y}_t = 40.7676 + 0.1283x_t = 40.7676 + 0.1283(750) = \$130.98 \quad (3.3.15)$$

We *predict* that a household with a weekly income of \$750 will spend \$130.98 per week on food.

3.3.3c Examining Computer Output

Dependent Variable: FOODEXP				
Method: Least Squares				
Sample: 1 40				
Included observations: 40				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	40.76756	22.13865	1.841465	0.0734
INCOME	0.128289	0.030539	4.200777	0.0002
R-squared	0.317118	Mean dependent var		130.3130
Adjusted R-squared	0.299148	S.D. dependent var		45.15857
S.E. of regression	37.80536	Akaike info criterion		10.15149
Sum squared resid	54311.33	Schwarz criterion		10.23593
Log likelihood	-201.0297	F-statistic		17.64653
Durbin-Watson stat	2.370373	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000155

Figure 3.10 EViews Regression Output

Dependent Variable: FOODEXP

Analysis of Variance

Source	DF	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	F Value	Prob>F
Model	1	25221.22299	25221.22299	17.647	0.0002
Error	38	54311.33145	1429.24556		
C Total	39	79532.55444			

Root MSE	37.80536	R-square	0.3171
Dep Mean	130.31300	Adj R-sq	0.2991
C.V.	29.01120		

Parameter Estimates

Variable	DF	Parameter Estimate	Standard Error	T for H0: Parameter=0	Prob > T
INTERCEP	1	40.767556	22.13865442	1.841	0.0734
INCOME	1	0.128289	0.03053925	4.201	0.0002

Figure 3.11 SAS Regression Output

3.3.4 Other Economic Models

- The “log-log” model $\ln(y) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(x)$
- The derivative of $\ln(y)$ with respect to x is

$$\frac{d[\ln(y)]}{dx} = \frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{dy}{dx}$$

- The derivative of $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(x)$ with respect to x is

$$\frac{d[\beta_1 + \beta_2 \ln(x)]}{dx} = \frac{1}{x} \cdot \beta_2$$

- Setting these two pieces equal to one another, and solving for β_2 gives

$$\beta_2 = \frac{dy}{dx} \cdot \frac{x}{y} = \eta \tag{3.3.16}$$