

Final Exam  
 Economics 446  
 Applied Econometrics and Economic Modeling  
 April 25, 2008  
 R. Sickles  
 You have three hours for this final exam. You may use a two-sided 8 1/2 x 11 piece of paper with notes, etc.

1. [5 points] Two important functional forms are the linear model and the log-linear model (the dependent variable and all explanatory variables are log-transformed).

For

each of these two functional forms, give the economic interpretation of the slope coefficient.

2. [5 points] The Gauss-Markov theorem says that when the "classical assumptions"

are satisfied the OLS estimator is a Best Linear Unbiased Estimator (BLUE). Discuss the

meaning of Best Linear Unbiased Estimator.

3. State whether the following claims are True or False. Carefully explain your answers.

(a) [5 points] If heteroskedastic errors are found in the linear model then the loglinear model will also have heteroskedastic errors.

(b) [5 points] The  $AR(1)$  error process considers that the current period error  $e_t$  is

correlated with the error in the previous period  $e_{t-1}$ . However, the current period

error is uncorrelated with any errors from 2 or more periods in the past.

(c) [5 points] Spatial correlation in the error from a cross-sectional model results in unbiased estimates of the least squares coefficients and their standard errors.

4. A data set contains 120 monthly observations on  $R$  (the risk premium for company

$XYZ$ ) and  $M$  (the risk premium on the market portfolio). Consider the CAPM model:

$$R_t = a + bM_t + e_t$$

A beta coefficient greater than one indicates an "aggressive" stock. An estimated

equation with t-statistics in parentheses is:

$$\hat{R}_t = 0.0026 + 1.28M_t$$

$(0.34) \quad (6.44)$

Answer the following questions. Carefully explain all answers.

(a) [5 points] The method of least squares finds values for  $a$  and  $b$  to minimize what

function ?

(b) [5 points] Is company  $XYZ$  an aggressive stock ? State the null and alternative hypotheses.

(c) [5 points] Give the test statistic. A numerical expression must be stated.

(d) [5 points] Is January different? Define a January dummy variable. State a regression equation that

allows for both a different intercept and different slope coefficient in January. Be careful

with all notation.

(e) [5 points] State the null and alternative hypotheses for testing if there is a

January effect.

(f) [5 points] Draw a graph that shows how to calculate the p-value for the test

statistic. Give clear labels to the graph. Explain how you would use the p-value to make

a decision.

(g) [5 points] It may also be interesting to consider a different error variance in January.

How would you test for this type of heteroskedasticity ? Carefully explain the steps

required to calculate a test statistic.

5. [10 points] Explain how the assumptions needed for the Gauss-Markov theorem to apply are changed when there is a stochastic regressor.

6. Consider the following simultaneous equations model. Assume that  $x$  is exogenous and that  $\text{cov}(e, u) \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 &= \beta x + e \\y_2 &= \alpha y_1 + u\end{aligned}$$

(a) [5 points] How would you estimate the parameter  $\beta$ ? Is it identified?

(b) [5 points] How would you estimated the parameter  $\alpha$ ? Is it identified?


7. Labor economists study the determination of labor earnings using a statistical earnings function. A simple example of such a regression, estimated using data for 31,093 men, is

$$\ln Y_i = 7.58 + 0.070X_i + e_i$$

Here  $Y$  denotes earnings and  $X$  is years of education; “ $\ln$ ” denotes a natural logarithm. The estimated standard error of the coefficient on years of education is 0.00160.

- (a) [5 points] Using your knowledge of logarithmic functional forms, explain the interpretation of the coefficient on education.
- (b) [5 points] Obtain a 90% confidence interval for the rate of return to education.
- (c) [5 points] You are told that years of education is a proxy for the intended variable "ability." Indicate the direction of bias in the least squares estimate of returns to education if it is assumed that ability and education are positively correlated and the effect of ability on wages is positive.
- (f) [5 points] Explain how you would go about reestimating this equation to handle the potential endogenous regressor problem.


## Standard Normal Cumulative Probability Table



Cumulative probabilities for NEGATIVE z-values are shown in the following table:

<b>z</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>0.01</b>	<b>0.02</b>	<b>0.03</b>	<b>0.04</b>	<b>0.05</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>0.07</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.09</b>
<b>-3.4</b>	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	0.0002
<b>-3.3</b>	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0004	0.0003
<b>-3.2</b>	0.0007	0.0007	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0006	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005
<b>-3.1</b>	0.0010	0.0009	0.0009	0.0009	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007
<b>-3.0</b>	0.0013	0.0013	0.0013	0.0012	0.0012	0.0011	0.0011	0.0011	0.0010	0.0010
<b>-2.9</b>	0.0019	0.0018	0.0018	0.0017	0.0016	0.0016	0.0015	0.0015	0.0014	0.0014
<b>-2.8</b>	0.0026	0.0025	0.0024	0.0023	0.0023	0.0022	0.0021	0.0021	0.0020	0.0019
<b>-2.7</b>	0.0035	0.0034	0.0033	0.0032	0.0031	0.0030	0.0029	0.0028	0.0027	0.0026
<b>-2.6</b>	0.0047	0.0045	0.0044	0.0043	0.0041	0.0040	0.0039	0.0038	0.0037	0.0036
<b>-2.5</b>	0.0062	0.0060	0.0059	0.0057	0.0055	0.0054	0.0052	0.0051	0.0049	0.0048
<b>-2.4</b>	0.0082	0.0080	0.0078	0.0075	0.0073	0.0071	0.0069	0.0068	0.0066	0.0064
<b>-2.3</b>	0.0107	0.0104	0.0102	0.0099	0.0096	0.0094	0.0091	0.0089	0.0087	0.0084
<b>-2.2</b>	0.0139	0.0136	0.0132	0.0129	0.0125	0.0122	0.0119	0.0116	0.0113	0.0110
<b>-2.1</b>	0.0179	0.0174	0.0170	0.0166	0.0162	0.0158	0.0154	0.0150	0.0146	0.0143
<b>-2.0</b>	0.0228	0.0222	0.0217	0.0212	0.0207	0.0202	0.0197	0.0192	0.0188	0.0183
<b>-1.9</b>	0.0287	0.0281	0.0274	0.0268	0.0262	0.0256	0.0250	0.0244	0.0239	0.0233
<b>-1.8</b>	0.0359	0.0351	0.0344	0.0336	0.0329	0.0322	0.0314	0.0307	0.0301	0.0294
<b>-1.7</b>	0.0446	0.0436	0.0427	0.0418	0.0409	0.0401	0.0392	0.0384	0.0375	0.0367
<b>-1.6</b>	0.0548	0.0537	0.0526	0.0516	0.0505	0.0495	0.0485	0.0475	0.0465	0.0455
<b>-1.5</b>	0.0668	0.0655	0.0643	0.0630	0.0618	0.0606	0.0594	0.0582	0.0571	0.0559
<b>-1.4</b>	0.0808	0.0793	0.0778	0.0764	0.0749	0.0735	0.0721	0.0708	0.0694	0.0681
<b>-1.3</b>	0.0968	0.0951	0.0934	0.0918	0.0901	0.0885	0.0869	0.0853	0.0838	0.0823
<b>-1.2</b>	0.1151	0.1131	0.1112	0.1093	0.1075	0.1056	0.1038	0.1020	0.1003	0.0985
<b>-1.1</b>	0.1357	0.1335	0.1314	0.1292	0.1271	0.1251	0.1230	0.1210	0.1190	0.1170
<b>-1.0</b>	0.1587	0.1562	0.1539	0.1515	0.1492	0.1469	0.1446	0.1423	0.1401	0.1379
<b>-0.9</b>	0.1841	0.1814	0.1788	0.1762	0.1736	0.1711	0.1685	0.1660	0.1635	0.1611
<b>-0.8</b>	0.2119	0.2090	0.2061	0.2033	0.2005	0.1977	0.1949	0.1922	0.1894	0.1867
<b>-0.7</b>	0.2420	0.2389	0.2358	0.2327	0.2296	0.2266	0.2236	0.2206	0.2177	0.2148
<b>-0.6</b>	0.2743	0.2709	0.2676	0.2643	0.2611	0.2578	0.2546	0.2514	0.2483	0.2451
<b>-0.5</b>	0.3085	0.3050	0.3015	0.2981	0.2946	0.2912	0.2877	0.2843	0.2810	0.2776
<b>-0.4</b>	0.3446	0.3409	0.3372	0.3336	0.3300	0.3264	0.3228	0.3192	0.3156	0.3121
<b>-0.3</b>	0.3821	0.3783	0.3745	0.3707	0.3669	0.3632	0.3594	0.3557	0.3520	0.3483
<b>-0.2</b>	0.4207	0.4168	0.4129	0.4090	0.4052	0.4013	0.3974	0.3936	0.3897	0.3859
<b>-0.1</b>	0.4602	0.4562	0.4522	0.4483	0.4443	0.4404	0.4364	0.4325	0.4286	0.4247
<b>0.0</b>	0.5000	0.4960	0.4920	0.4880	0.4840	0.4801	0.4761	0.4721	0.4681	0.4641

## Standard Normal Cumulative Probability Table



**Cumulative probabilities for POSITIVE z-values are shown in the following table:**