

Poster Evaluation Form

BIOS 312 Spring 2006

Team members _____

Poster Content	very impressive/ effective/ error-free	2	adequate	4	really needs work
Emphasizes key points/NEWS (appropriate title, headings, choice of visuals, layout)	1	2	3	4	5
Summarizes scope of investigation	1	2	3	4	5
Organization (overall and within sections)	1	2	3	4	5
Accuracy and completeness of explanations	1	2	3	4	5
Amount of detail is appropriate (not too detailed, not too superficial, just balanced)	1	2	3	4	5
Defines key terms and avoids jargon	1	2	3	4	5
Considers all data/variables and reports those that are significant	1	2	3	4	5
Explains figures/tables on poster	1	2	3	4	5
Draws distinct conclusions	1	2	3	4	5
Grammar, spelling, punctuation	1	2	3	4	5

Poster Appearance	very high appeal	2	average appeal	4	low appeal
Overall appeal	1	2	3	4	5
Quality of visuals	1	2	3	4	5
Colors not distracting/contribute to understanding	1	2	3	4	5
Font (size, style, quality)	1	2	3	4	5
Highlighting of major concepts (bullets, numbers, white spaces, arrows)	1	2	3	4	5
Short text segments/not too text-heavy	1	2	3	4	5
Consistent formatting	1	2	3	4	5

Strengths:

Areas for improvement: