Administrative Announcements

Exam

• Due Monday

Next Homework

Available Monday

COMP 210, Spring 2002

Review

New template for generative recursion

```
(define (gen-recur-func problem-data)
  (cond
  [(trivial-to-solve? arg<sub>1</sub> arg<sub>2</sub> ... arg<sub>n</sub>) (solve arg<sub>1</sub> arg<sub>2</sub> ... arg<sub>n</sub>) ]
  [else
     (combine-solutions
     ... (gen-recur-func (generate-problem1 problem-data)) ...
     ... (gen-recur-func (generate-problem2 problem-data)) ...
     ...
     ... (gen-recur-func (generate-problem4 problem-data)) ... )]
  ))
```

This one offers less guidance than the structural templates did! \Rightarrow Need to ask some questions before we fill it in !



1



Review

List of questions to help fill in the template

- 1. What is the trivial case?
 - \rightarrow How do we identify it?
 - \rightarrow How do we solve it?
- 2. How do we generate subproblems?
 - \rightarrow How many should we generate?
 - \rightarrow How do we generate them?
- 3. Does the subproblem solution solve the original problem?
- 4. Must we combine solutions from multiple subproblems?
 - \rightarrow How do we combine them?

COMP 210, Spring 2002

(define (hi-lo lo hi)

(cond

;;

Back to Generative Recursion

Last class we were looking at hi-lo

(local [(define midpoint (/ (+ lo hi) 2))

;; Purpose: consumes an interval and returns the number hidden by guess (lo <= guess <= hi)

(define answer (guess midpoint))]

[(symbol=? answer 'lower) (hi-lo midpoint hi)]

[(symbol=? answer 'higher) (hi-lo lo midpoint)]

[(symbol=? answer 'equal) midpoint]

;; hi-lo: integer integer -> integer





An Aside

Debugging hi-lo

- To understand what happens with hi-lo, we need to see the values of lo, midpoint, and hi
- Two new Scheme expressions, begin and printf

```
(begin expr_1 expr_2 \dots expr_n)
```

 \rightarrow Evaluates $expr_1$, then $expr_2$, then ... , then $expr_n$

```
(printf string arg_1 arg_2 \dots arg_n)
```

- \rightarrow Prints string, with the arguments substituted in place of tilde expressions (see DrScheme's Help Desk for details)
- We use them to print out the values on each recursive call

Try hi-lo on [0 12] Try hi-lo on [0-15] To DrScheme

COMP 210, Spring 2002

Back to Generative Recursion

What happened?

- The recursive calls passed midpoint to hi-lo
 - Midpoint took on non-integer values
 - \rightarrow Violates the contract
 - → Non-integer endpoints cannot match hidden value!

```
;; hi-lo2: integer integer -> integer
;; Purpose: consumes an interval and returns the number
         hidden by quess (lo \leq quess \leq hi)
```

(define (hi-lo2 lo hi)	Returns next	
(local [(define midpoint (truncate (/ (+ lo hi) 2)))	lower integer	
(define answer (guess midpoint))]		_
(cond		
[(symbol=? answer 'lower) (hi-lo2 midp	oint hi)] Tr	y it on
[(symbol=? answer 'equal) midpoint]	[0	- 15]
[(symbol=? answer 'higher) (hi-lo2 lo mi	dpoint)]	-
))		



(/ (+ 0 15)) = 15/2



Hi-lo, again

Termination argument

At each step, hi-lo2 splits the interval into two sub-intervals [lo - midpoint] and [midpoint - hi], where midpoint is computed as the integer below (/ (+ lo hi)). It uses guess to determine if the hidden number lies in [lo - midpoint], in [midpoint - hi], or is equal to midpoint. At each recursive call, the interval becomes smaller.

Eventually, the interval converges to a trivial range, where the midpoint is equal to the hidden number.

More Test Cases

COMP 210, Spring 2002

(hi-lo2 0 3)

- Oops. It never terminates (on its own)
- Why?

When the interval reaches the point where (- hi lo) is 1, it can only test <u>lo</u>, not <u>hi</u>. Anytime the hidden number becomes the upper end of an interval, the hi-lo2 will stop making progress.

We need to test the upper bound explicitly, as in hi-lo3

• Obvious way to fix it is to check hi explicitly









Try it on [0 - 3]



Checking hi explicitly

```
;; hi-lo3: integer integer -> integer
;; Purpose: consumes an interval and returns the number
;; hidden by guess (lo <= guess <= hi)
(define (hi-lo3 lo hi)
(cond [(symbol=? (guess hi) 'equal) hi]
[else (local [ (define midpoint (truncate (/ (+ lo hi) 2)))
(define answer (guess midpoint)) ]
(cond
[(symbol=? answer 'lower) (hi-lo3 midpoint hi)]
[(symbol=? answer 'equal) midpoint]
[(symbol=? answer 'higher) (hi-lo3 lo midpoint)]
))] ))
```

Try it on [0 - 3] Try it on [0 - 6]

COMP 210, Spring 2002

Hi-lo3

Termination argument

At each call to hi-lo3, it checks the value of <u>hi</u> against the hidden number. If that test fails, it computes the <u>midpoint</u> of the interval and tests it. If the midpoint equals the hidden value, it returns the hidden value. Otherwise, it takes the appropriate subinterval and recurs.

In the worst case, this continues until the interval contains exactly two integers, <u>lo</u> and <u>hi</u>. It explicitly checks <u>hi</u>. The computation of <u>midpoint</u> produces <u>lo</u> (due to the <u>truncate</u>). Thus, it checks both endpoints, one of which must be the hidden number.

Whew. That seems pretty complex.



But wait

- Hi-lo3 checks hi on every call
- After first call, every <u>hi</u> has already been checked
 - $\rightarrow\,$ Many extra calls to guess
- Can use local to elide this redundant check

```
;; hi-lo3a: integer integer -> integer
(define (hi-lo3a lo hi)
(cond
[(symbol=? (guess hi) 'equal) hi] ;; check it once
[else (local [(define (helper lo hi) ;; recur without checking hi
(local [(define midpoint (truncate (/ (+ lo hi) 2)))
(define answer (guess midpoint))]
(cond [(symbol=? answer 'higher)(helper lo midpoint)]
[(symbol=? answer 'equal) midpoint]
[(symbol=? answer 'lower) (helper midpoint hi)])))]
(helper lo hi))]))
```

COMP 210, Spring 2002

HI-lo3a

Termination argument

The call to hi-lo3a checks the value of <u>hi</u>. If it equals the hidden number, hi-lo3a returns.

Otherwise, it invokes helper. It picks a midpoint and checks it for equality to the hidden number. If the midpoint is not equal to the hidden number, it recurs on the appropriate interval [lo - midpoint] or [midpoint - hi]. Note that both <u>hi</u> and <u>midpoint</u> have all been checked against the hidden number.

In the worst case, this continues until the interval contains exactly two integers, <u>lo</u> and <u>hi</u>. <u>Hi</u> has already been checked. <u>Midpoint</u> becomes equal to <u>lo</u> and helper checks it.

This version works...





```
11
```



Another approach

```
;; hi-lo4: integer integer -> integer
;; Purpose: consumes an interval and returns the number
;; hidden by guess (lo <= guess <= hi)
(define (hi-lo4 lo hi)
(local [(define midpoint (truncate (/ (+ lo hi) 2)))
(define answer (guess midpoint))]
(cond
[(symbol=? answer 'lower) (hi-lo4 (add1 midpoint) hi)]
[(symbol=? answer 'equal) midpoint]
[(symbol=? answer 'higher) (hi-lo4 lo (sub1 midpoint))]
))
```

Try it on [0 - 3] Try it on [0 - 6]

COMP 210, Spring 2002

Hi-lo4

Termination Condition

The range between <u>lo</u> and <u>hi</u> gets strictly smaller on each recursive call. It narrows the interval by excluding <u>midpoint</u>, which it has already tested and rejected.

In the extreme case, the interval becomes a single number $(\underline{hi} = \underline{lo} = \underline{midpoint})$. At that point, the algorithm terminates because guess must return 'equal.

This is much simpler.

In fact, the simpler termination argument suggests that this might be the better solution for the problem.





Conclusions

- Thinking about the termination condition led us to a number of different solutions
- Simplifying the termination condition led to a simpler implementation
- The simpler implementation may actually do less work, since it shrinks the interval more quickly (& avoids duplicate tests)

In some sense, the extent to which you do this kind of structured reasoning about termination and correctness determines whether you are a recreational programmer—hacking together something and checking it on a few simple examples—or a professional developer who writes robust, reliable applications.

COMP 210, Spring 2002