

Administrative Announcements



- Welcome back
- Homework due Wednesday, as usual
- Exam next week
 - Will cover material through Friday's lecture
 - Will cover lab lectures including this week's lab
 - Either Wednesday in class (1 hour) or in 7-9pm (2 hours)
 - Closed notes, closed book

Functional Abstraction



What's abstraction?

- Have built programs that take parameters
 - Fundamental form of abstraction
- What about higher levels of abstraction?
 - Abstracting over functionality

Simple programs



Program to pick out the numbers < 5 in a list

```
;; keep-lt-5 : list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number  $< 5$  in the input list
(define (keep-lt-5 alon)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon)      empty]
    [(cons? alon)
     (cond
       [(< (first alon) 5) (cons (first alon) (keep-lt-5 (rest alon)))]
       [else               (keep-lt-5 (rest alon))]
     )])
  ))
```

Followed the standard list template

Might clean it up with a helper function, but ...

Simple programs



Program to pick out the numbers < 9 in a list

```
;; keep-lt-9 : list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number  $< 9$  in the input list
(define (keep-lt-9 alon)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon)      empty]
    [(cons? alon)
     (cond
       [(< (first alon) 9) (cons (first alon) (keep-lt-9 (rest alon)))]
       [else               (keep-lt-9 (rest alon))]
     )])
  ))
```

Simple programs



Program to pick out the numbers $< x$ in a list

```
;; keep-lt : list-of-numbers number -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number  $< x$  in the input list
(define (keep-lt alon x)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon)      empty]
    [(cons? alon)
     (cond
       [(< (first alon) x) (cons (first alon) (keep-lt (rest alon) x))]
       [else               (keep-lt (rest alon) x)]]
    )]))
```

Abstracted out the upper bound

Simple programs



Using local to ellide the invariant parameter

```
;; keep-lt : list-of-numbers number -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number  $< x$  in the input list
(define (keep-lt alon x)
  (local
    [(define (filter-lt alon)
      (cond
        [(empty? alon)  empty]
        [(cons? alon)
         (cond
           [(< (first alon) x) (cons (first alon) (filter-lt (rest alon)))]
           [else               (filter-lt (rest alon))]]
        )])) ]
    (filter-lt alon)
  ))
```

Simple programs



Back to keep-lt-5 and keep-lt-9

;; with keep-lt defined as on the last slide ...

```
(define (keep-lt-5 alon)
  (keep-lt alon 5))
```

```
(define (keep-lt-9 alon)
  (keep-lt alon 9))
```

- *Looks a lot easier than writing separate code for each one*
- *Creates single-point-of-control on keep-lt*

Simple programs



Program to pick out the numbers **> 5** in a list

```
;; keep-gt-5 : list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number > 5 in the input list
(define (keep-gt-5 alon)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon)      empty]
    [(cons? alon)
     (cond
       [(> (first alon) 5) (cons (first alon) (keep-gt-5 (rest alon)))]
       [else                (keep-gt-5 (rest alon))]
     )])
```

All we did was change the comparison operator

Next, we can abstract the number and ellide the invariant

Eventually, we end up with keep-gt

Simple programs



```
;; keep-gt : list-of-numbers number -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: result contains every number > x in the input list
(define (keep-gt alon x)
  (local
    [(define (filter-gt alon)
      (cond
        [(empty? alon) empty]
        [(cons? alon)
         (cond
           [(> (first alon) x) (cons (first alon) (filter-gt (rest alon)))]
           [else (filter-gt (rest alon))])])])
    (filter-gt alon)
  ))
```

Can we abstract out < and > ?

Critical Aside



How would we represent < and > ?

- We need a contract
 - <: (number number -> boolean)
 - >: (number number -> boolean)
- We need a name
 - What do we call < and > ?
 - How about < and > ?
 - (*fee* 1) invokes a program named *fee*
 - Does (< 2 3) invoke a program named < ?
- Programs have names and can be passed around like values
 - Programs are values in some more complicated algebraic space

Back to abstraction



Abstract keep-lt-5 and keep-gt-5

;; keep-rel-5 : list-of-numbers (number number -> bool) -> list-of-numbers

;; Purpose: result contains every number where “relation n 5” is true

```
(define (keep-rel-5 alon rel)
  (cond
    [(empty? alon)      empty]
    [(cons? alon)
     (cond
       [(rel (first alon) 5) (cons (first alon) (keep-rel-5 (rest alon) rel))]
       [else                  (keep-rel-5 (rest alon) rel)]
     )])
  ))
```

```
(define (keep-lt-5 alon)
```

```
  (keep-rel-5 alon <))
```

```
(define (keep-gt-5 alon)
```

```
  (keep-rel-5 alon >))
```

COMP 210, Spring 2002

11

Following the yellow brick road ...



And, we can pass in the number and ellide invariants ...

;; keep-rel: list-of-nums (num num -> bool) num -> list-of-nums

;; Purpose: keep the numbers specified by relation and x

```
(define (keep-rel alon rel x)
  (local
    [(define (filter-rel alon) ;; treat rel and x as invariants
      (cond
        [(empty? alon)  empty]
        [(cons? alon)
         (cond
           [(rel (first alon) x)
            (cons (first alon) (filter-rel (rest alon)))]
           [else (filter-rel (rest alon))] )])
      )])
    (filter-rel alon) ))
```

```
(define (keep-gt-9 alon)
```

```
  (keep-rel alon > 9))
```

} *And so on ...*

COMP 210, Spring 2002

12

What about other comparisons?



Does this work for programs you write?

- < and > are built into Scheme
- What about a program you write?

```
;; between?: number number number -> boolean
;; Purpose: takes lower and upper bound, plus number
;;         returns true if number is between lower & upper bound,
;;         inclusive (lb <= x <= ub)
(define (between? lb ub x)
  (and (<= lb x) (<= x ub)))
```

- Can we pass this to keep-rel and have it work?
 - No, the contract is wrong
 - But, we can develop keep-bet with all the gory details

Abstracting out the differences



So far, we've abstracted out numbers and programs

- All had the same contracts ...
- Can we abstract away the contract?
 - Lets sidestep this for a slide or two
- Look at the common code in all these applications

```
(define (keep ... alon)
  (local
    [(define (filter alon)
      (cond [(empty? alon) empty]
            [(cons? alon)
             (cond
              [( ... (first alon) ...) (cons (first alon) (filter (rest alon)))]
              [else (filter (rest alon))] ) ]))]
      (filter alon) ))
```

Reordered parameters to make the differences come first

Abstracting out the differences



Let's fill in the gaps

*keep-elt? must be
(number -> boolean)*

```
(define (keep keep-elt? alon)
  (local
    [(define (filter alon)
      (cond [(empty? alon) empty]
            [(cons? alon)
             (cond
              [(keep-elt? (first alon)) (cons (first alon) (filter (rest alon)))]
              [else (filter (rest alon))]) ]))]
      (filter alon) ))
```

Using keep



```
(define (keep keep-elt? alon)
  (local
    [(define (filter alon)
      (cond [(empty? alon) empty]
            [(cons? alon)
             (cond
              [(keep-elt? (first alon)) (cons (first alon) (filter (rest alon)))]
              [else (filter (rest alon))]) ]))]
      (filter alon) ))
```

```
(define (keep-lt-5 alon)
  (local [(define (lt-5? x) (< x 5))]
    (keep lt-5? alon)
  ))
(define (keep-bet-5-9 alon)
  (local [(define (bet-5-9? x) (and (<= 5 x) (<= x 9)))]
    (keep bet-5-9? alon) ))
```


Filter



```
(define (keep keep-elt? alon)
  (local
    [(define (filter alon)
      (cond [(empty? alon) empty]
            [(cons? alon)
             (cond
              [(keep-elt? (first alon) (cons (first alon) (filter (rest alon)))]
               [else (filter (rest alon))] ) ]))]
      (filter alon) ))])
```

Keep is so useful that Scheme provides a built-in version

- *Of course, Scheme's version is less restrictive*
- *It isn't limited to numbers*

filter: (alpha->boolean) list-of-alpha -> list-of-alpha

where alpha is a kind of data, i.e, number, symbol, list, structure, ...