## COMP 210, Spring 2002

## Lecture 14: Finishing up Files \& Directories + Programs with Multiple Complicated Arguments

## Reminders:

- Homework assignment due Wednesday


## Review

1. We looked at another example of a complex information structure implemented as a set of mutually recursive structures. In this case, it was a model for the user interface to a file system.


The template for this set of data definitions:


Write the program depth-dir which consumes a directory and returns a number indicating how many levels of nested directories are in the directory tree.
;; depth-dir: directory -> number
;; Purpose: return the number of levels of directories in the tree (define (depth-dir (add1 (depth-lofd (dir-contents a-dir))))
;; depth-lofd: lofd -> number
;" Purpose: return the number of levels of directories in an lofd (define (depth-lofd a-lofd)
(cond
[(empty? a-lofd) 0]
[(symbol? (first a-lofd)) (depth-loff(rest a-lof)...]
[(dir? (first a-lofd)) (max
(depth-dir (first a-lofd))
(depth-lofd (rest a-lofd)))]
))

## Arguments to Programs

So far in COMP 210, we have written programs that take, at most, one complicated argument. Real life is not so simple. In the last homework, you needed a function that took two distinct lists as arguments--two complex arguments. This is the first time in COMP 210 that you have used a function with two non-trivial arguments. (You will see more such functions in lab this week.)
What's hard about a program with two complex arguments? The key issue, as with much of COMP 210, is getting the right template.
The rest of this lecture works through three different examples, using them to show the variety of templates that can arise from this situation.
[Warning: In this lecture, we reach the point where our templates incorporate some program-specific knowledge. Specifically, the templates will need to reflect the contract for the program that we are writing, as well as some knowledge of how that program will use the various pieces of information in its input arguments.]

Examples (Some functions that take two complex arguments)

## 1. append

;; append: list list -> list
;" Purpose: produces a list with all the elements of the first
; argument followed by all the elements of the
; second argument
(define (append list1 list 2)
(cond
[(empty? list1) list2]
[(cons? list1) (cons (first list1) (append (rest list1) list2))]
))
This program never examines its second argument. It never treats it as a list, except to return it, untouched, as the second argument. Thus, we can write this function quite easily by using the standard template for a program that takes a list-valued argument.

## 2. make-points

;; a point is
; (make-point x y )
; where $x$ and $y$ are numbers
(define-struct point (x y))
;; make-points: list-of-numbers list-of-numbers -> list-of-points
; Purpose: takes two lists of numbers, interprets them as a list of ;i $\quad \mathrm{x}$ and y coordinates, and produces the corresponding list of ;; points.
(define (make-points x-list y-list) ...)
How does the template look? Clearly, make-points must manipulate the contents of both of its arguments. For the program to make sense, however, a simple fact must be true--both lists must have the same number of elements. This fact simplifies the structure of the template.

```
(define (f x-list y-list)
    (cond
        [(empty? x-list) ...]
        [(cons? x-list) ...
            ... (first x-list) ... (first y-list) ...
            ... (f (rest x-list) (rest y-list)) ... ]
        ))
```

Given this template, we can develop the program by filling in the blanks and eliding unneeded constructs.
;; make-points: list-of-numbers list-of-numbers -> list-of-points ;; Purpose: takes two lists of numbers, interprets them as a list of
; $\quad \mathrm{x}$ and y coordinates, and produces the corresponding list of
; points.
(define (make-points x-list y-list)
(cond
[(empty? x-list) empty]
[(cons? x -list)
(cons
(make-point (first x-list) (first y-list)) (make-points (rest x-list) (rest y-list)) )]
))
Notice that the template incorporates knowledge of the contract and purpose, making it a function of both the data definition(s) and the program being developed. This is quite a leap away from what we've done previously. This will become even more extreme for problems where we lack the kind of special case knowledge that simplified this template.

## 3. merge

;; merge: list-of-numbers list-of-numbers -> list-of-numbers
;; Purpose: takes as input two lists of numbers, which are assumed
;) to be in ascending order by value and produces a single list
; that contains all the numbers, including duplicates, sorted in
; ascending order
(define (merge alon1 alon2) ...
Clearly, merge must look inside both lists. It can make no assumptions about the length of either list. (merge empty (cons 1 empty))) should produce (cons 1 empty). What do we do to produce a template? Rely on the methodology! Let's write down examples. 2 inputs, 2 cases in data definition => at least 4 examples
$($ merge empty empty) $=>$ empty
$($ merge empty $($ cons 1 (cons 5 empty)) ) => (cons 1 (cons 5 empty))
$($ merge (cons 1 (cons 5 empty)) empty) => (cons 1 (cons 5 empty))
$($ merge (cons 1 (cons 5 empty) $)($ cons 3 empty))
$\quad$ => cons 1 (cons 3 (cons 5 empty)))

Our program must be able to handle all these diverse cases correctly. Thus, we need to work out a set of questions that the program can use in the cond statement to distinguish them. We can fill in a table to derive the conditions

| Questions for list $\boldsymbol{x}$ list -> list |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (empty? alon2) | (cons? alon2) |
| (empty? alon1) | (and (empty? alon1) <br> (empty? alon2)) | (and (empty? alon1) <br> (cons? alon2)) |
| (cons? alon1) | (and (cons? alon1) <br> (empty? alon2)) | (and (cons? alon1) <br> (cons? alon2)) |

The table makes the structure of the template clear.

```
(define (f alon1 alon2)
    (cond
            [(and (empty? alon1) (empty? alon2)) ... ]
            [(and (empty? alon1) (cons? alon2)) ...
                    ... (first alon2) ... (rest alon2) ... ]
            [(and (cons? alon1) (empty? alon2)) ...
                ... (first alon1) ... (rest alon1) ... ]
            [(and (cons? alon1) (cons? alon2)) ...
                ... (first alon1) ... (first alon2) ...
                ... (rest alon1) ... (rest alon2) ]
                ))
```

While the structure is clear, the template is missing all of the recursion relationships? This case is a little more complex than the ones we've seen in the past.

1. In case 1 , both lists are empty so there is no recursion.
2. In case 2 , we need to recur on alon2. However, the function needs two list arguments, not one. What is the other argument? We are tempted to pass in empty, but we should pass in alon1, instead. It makes better logical sense, even though we know it is equivalent to empty. (We just tested it.) So, we can use (f alon1 (rest alon2)).
3. In case 3 , we need to recur on alon1. By symmetry with case 2, we should use (f (rest alon1) alon2).
4. In case 4, we should recur on both alon1 and alon2. We have several choices for distinct ways that we could recur. These include
5. (f alon1 (rest alon2))
6. (f (rest alon1) alon2)
7. (f (rest alon1) (rest alon2))

We will see cases where each of these is the right thing to do. Since we are building a template, we should write down all of these forms. When we tailor the template to a specific program, we can delete (or cross out) the ones that we do not need.

This leads to our final template for this program.

```
(define (f alon1 alon2)
    (cond
            [(and (empty? alon1) (empty? alon2)) ... ]
            [(and (empty? alon1) (cons? alon2)) ...
            \(\ldots(\) first alon2) ... (f alon1 (rest alon2)) ... ]
            [(and (cons? alon1) (empty? alon2)) ...
                    ... (first alon1) ... (f (rest alon1) alon2) ... ]
            [(and (cons? alon1) (cons? alon2)) ...
                    ... (first alon1) ... (first alon2) ...
                    (f alon1 (rest alon2))
                    (f (rest alon1) alon2))
                    (f (rest alon1) (rest alon2))
            ))
```

