First Exam

- Wednesday, 2/13/2002
  - $\rightarrow$  In class, in DH 1070
  - $\rightarrow$  Closed notes, closed book
- Covers Sectons 1-12 of the book
  - $\rightarrow$  Not family trees
  - $\rightarrow$  Includes natural numbers (lab lecture + today)
- Covers class lectures, lab lectures, homework 1, 2 & 3
- Know the pledge !

COMP 210, Spring 2002

## Natural Numbers

210 so far ...

- Simple algebra (area of DH 1070)
- Single structures (planes, brands, points, ...)
- Recursive data structures (lists, trees)

Natural numbers

- For 210, a natural number is a non-negative integer
- These numbers have a natural recursive structure
- How does this fit into our computational model?
  - $\rightarrow$  Why bring this up, since it doesn't fit the models?



Programming with these data structures involves "structural recursion"

1



## Natural Numbers

Data analysis for Natural Numbers:

- ;; a natural number is either
- ;; zero, or
- ;; if N is a natural number, then (add1 N) is a natural number
- ;; we can use Scheme's built-in implementation of numbers

Structure of the natural numbers

- Recursive, like the definition of a list
- Resembles the sketch of an induction proof

COMP 210, Spring 2002

## Programming with the Natural Numbers

Structural recursion on the Natural Numbers

• We can build a template like the list template



- Can do structural recursion on natural numbers
  - $\rightarrow$  Recursion in the data is implicit, not explicit
- Why do this?
  - $\rightarrow\,$  To simplify reasoning about the resulting program







```
Programming with the Natural Numbers

;; Factorial: NatNum -> NatNum

(define (Factorial N)

(cond

[(= 0 N) 1]

[(> 0 N) (* N (factorial (sub1 N))]

))

Sketch of Proof
```

- Factorial(N) has two cases
  - $\rightarrow$  N = 0 and it returns 1
  - → N > 0
    - Since N is a natural number, we know it can be derived from 0 by repeated calls to add1
    - So, repeated calls to sub1 must eventually produce 0
    - Code always recurs on (sub1 N)  $\Rightarrow$  recursion halts





;; Factorial: NatNum -> NatNum (define (Factorial N) (cond [(= 0 N) 1] [(> 0 N) (\* N (factorial (sub1 N))] ))

The primary reasons for introducing the Natural Numbers in COMP 210 and for working with them are:

- To add formalism to our thinking about structural recursion
- To demonstrate that we don't need a data structure to perform structural recursion; we just need data with a structure either explicit or implicit
- To add another dimension to our understanding of arithmetic

COMP 210, Spring 2002

Back to Family Trees

So far, our trees have been rather biased

- Have a *child-centric* view of the world
   → All links run from parent to child
- Another view is possible *parent-centric* trees





Which one is the right picture?

Susan Tom Pat Mike Ann Joe Mary

Parent-centric tree



7



COMP 210, Spring 2002

Parent-centric Eamily Trees

;; a parent is a structure

;; (make-parent name year eye-color children)

- ;; where name & eye-color are symbols,
- ;; year is a number, and children is a list of parent
- ;; a list-of-parent is either
- ;; empty, or
- ;; (cons f r)
- ;; where f is a parent and r is a list-of-parent
- ;; We will use Scheme's built-in list construct

Mutually recursive data structures

- Makes programming a little more complex
- Two data-definitions means two templates, two programs, ...









- Template reflects the data
- Use it in the same basic methodology

COMP 210, Spring 2002



11